A review of Department of Conservation mainland restoration projects and recommendations for further action

MAY 2000





A review of Department of Conservation mainland restoration projects and recommendations for further action

MAY 2000

Alan Saunders

Published by Department of Conservation PO Box 10-420 Wellington, New Zealand

Cover: The promise of a new day. Te Wai-iti catchment, Northern Te Urewera. *All photos except on p. 39 by Alan Saunders.*

© Copyright May 2000, Department of Conservation

ISBN 0-478-21956-3

Cataloguing in Publication

Saunders, Alan J., 1953A review of Department of Conservation mainland restoration projects and recommendations for further action / Alan Saunders. Wellington, N.Z.: Dept. of Conservation, 2000. 219 p.; 30 cm.
"May 2000." - Includes bibliographical references.
ISBN 0478219563
1.Wildlife conservation-New Zealand. 2. Restoration ecology-New Zealand. I. New Zealand. Dept. of Conservation. II. Title.

Contents

Executive summary					
Pre	Preface				
Acknowledgements					
De	Dedication				
Vis	ion		13		
PA	RT A	: BACKGROUND	15		
1.	The i	nitiation of mainland restoration projects	17		
	1.1	Declining native biodiversity	17		
	1.2	Responding to biodiversity loss	18		
	1.3	Conserving mainland biodiversity	19		
	1.4	Mapara and the 'Mainland Island' approach	19		
2.	Project selection				
	2.1	Selection process	23		
	2.2	Selection criteria	24		
	2.3	Project selection—discussion	27		
	2.4	Project selection—key points	29		
3.	Site features				
	3.1	Trounson Kauri Park Ecosystem Restoration Project	31		
	3.2	Northern Te Urewera Ecosystem Restoration Project	33		
	3.3	Boundary Stream Mainland Island Project	36		
	3.4	Paengaroa Reserve	38		
	3.5	Rotoiti Nature Recovery Project	40		
	3.6	Hurunui Mainland Island Project	42		
ъ.	D.W. D.	MANAGEMENTE A CENTITUE DE LA MESTA DEL LA MESTA DE LA			
		: MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, RESULTS AND INARY OUTCOMES	4 =		
			45		
4.	Long-	term project goals, or visions	47		
	4.1	Trounson	47		
	4.2	Northern Te Urewera	47		
	4.3	Boundary Stream	48		
	4.4	Paengaroa Reserve	48		
	4.5	Rotoiti	48		
	4.6	Hurunui	49		
	4.7	Long-term project goals, or visions—discussion	49		
	4.8	Long-term project goals, or visions—key points	49		
5.	Controlling pests				
	5.1	Possum control	53		
	5.2	Rodent control	64		
	5.3	Mustelid control	72		
	5.4	Cat control	78		

	5.5	Feral ungulate control	81	
	5.6	Wasp control	84	
	5.7	Weed control	88	
	5.8	Stock exclusion	92	
	5.9	Controlling pests—key points	94	
6.	Managing native plants and animals			
	6.1	Trounson	95	
	6.2	Northern Te Urewera	99	
	6.3	Boundary Stream	102	
	6.4	Paengaroa	105	
	6.5	Rotoiti	106	
	6.6	Hurunui	109	
	6.7	Managing native plants and animals—discussion	110	
	6.8	Managing native plants and animals—key points	112	
7.	Enhan	cing public awareness and community participation	113	
	7.1	Trounson	113	
	7.2	Northern Te Urewera	115	
	7.3	Boundary Stream	115	
	7.4	Paengaroa	117	
	7.5	Rotoiti	118	
	7.6	Hurunui	119	
	7.7	Public awareness and community participation—discussion	120	
	7.8	Public awareness and community participation—key points	122	
8.	Resea	rch	123	
	8.1	Reported research	123	
	8.2	Research providers	125	
	8.3	Reports and publications	126	
	8.4	Research—discussion	126	
	8.5	Research—key points	128	
9.	Projec	et administration and support	129	
	9.1	Strategic and operational plans	130	
	9.2	Performance reports	130	
	9.3	Advisory groups	130	
	9.4	Review and audit procedures	133	
	9.5	Project staffing	134	
	9.6	Project administration and support—discussion	135	
	9.7	Project administration and support—key points	137	
10.	Projec	et costs	138	
PA	RT C:	DISCUSSION	139	
11.	What sets these projects apart?			
	11.1	Ecological restoration goals	141	
	11.2	Intensive multi-pest control	144	
	11.3	Detailed monitoring	145	
	11.4	High costs and returns	147	

12.	Why a	re these projects important?	148		
	12.1	Further biodiversity losses are not inevitable	148		
	12.2	Statutory requirements and strategic goals	148		
	12.3	Integrated management approaches	150		
13.	Advancing a science-based management approach				
	13.1	What is science and research?	152		
	13.2	Ecological experiments	153		
	13.3	Learning from management activities	154		
	13.4	Experimentation at mainland restoration projects	156		
	13.5	Attributes of existing projects as experimental sites	157		
	13.6	Weaknesses of current projects as manipulative experiments.	158		
	13.7	An enhanced science-based approach	160		
PA	RT D·	WHERE TO FROM HERE?	163		
14.	Recommendations		165		
	14.1	Maintain support for existing projects	165		
	14.2	Ecological restoration policy	165		
	14.3	Plans and reports	166		
	14.5 14.4	Mainland restoration meetings	167		
	14.5	Review of technical aspects of project activities	168		
	14.6	Ecological restoration technical advisory group	168		
	14.7	Technical coordination	169		
	14.8	Identify other ecological restoration projects	169		
	14.9	Enhanced cooperation	170		
	14.10	Roles and selection of 'showcase' restoration areas	170		
	14.11	Developing conservation management capacity	171		
	14.12	Strategic research themes	171		
	14.13	A national restoration experiment	172		
15.	Refere	-	173		
AP	PEND	ICES	177		
App	endix 1	. Criteria for assessing mainland restoration project bids for the			
199	5/96 fin:	ancial year	179		
		Assessment table for ranking mainland restoration project bids	101		
		7/98 financial year	181		
App	endix 3	. Assessment criteria	183		
		Northern Te Urewera Ecological Restoration Project; relevant	105		
pub	ncations	s produced to date	185		
App	Appendix 5. Mainland restoration workshop proceedings				

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Six mainland restoration projects were initiated during 1995 and 1996 using funds specifically allocated for this purpose. In late 1996 it was determined that no further projects would be established pending an evaluation of their performance.

The precise reasons for the support by the Department of Conservation (DOC) for the initiation of these projects are unclear. Recent successes in recovering species and rehabilitating habitats, coupled with calls to focus conservation management on ecosystems as well as on species, and on the New Zealand mainland as well as on offshore islands probably had an important influence.

Selection criteria were developed and a process for evaluating Conservancy bids established. While ecosystem-related criteria were included, greatest weighting was given to the potential to recover threatened species. Projects were not primarily chosen for their experimental attributes or for their capacity building potential, although most project plans include research and development objectives.

In total approximately 11,500 hectares of land are being intensively managed at these sites, with a further 8,000 hectares being monitored as reference areas. Operational areas have already expanded at two projects. Most sites involve native forests although some native grasslands are included in the South Island projects.

Four features, in combination, set these projects apart: they have ecological restoration goals, they involve intensive, multi-pest control programmes, detailed monitoring is being undertaken, and there are relatively high costs and risks, as well as high returns associated with these projects.

Progress towards pest control objectives has been recorded at all projects with significant reductions in population indices for some pests. Reported pest control results are important in that very low population densities have been achieved for different suites of plant and animal pests, and maintained for longer periods than is normally the case in pest control projects elsewhere. Outcome monitoring suggests that significant changes have occurred in measured parameters such as vegetation condition and survival rates of some vulnerable birds.

In addition to results and outcomes related to intensive pest control, management techniques have been developed and refined, field trials undertaken and research supported to develop capacity to more effectively and efficiently manage biodiversity. While social outcomes have not been measured, changes in attitudes of some stakeholders have been reported as a result of advocacy activities.

Project administration and support procedures vary considerably between projects. While a large amount of information has been generated, the lack of consistent application of planning procedures and terms, and comprehensive and current reports (for most projects) was a major impediment to this review.

The total expected costs of these projects in the 1999/2000 financial year is \$3,110,995. No comparisons were undertaken with other projects as part of this review. It is clear, however, that the intensive and on-going management

regimes in place mean that operational costs are higher than at projects involving less intensive and frequent management.

It is suggested that there are two primary features of ecological restoration projects: a focus on ecosystems and ecological processes rather than on species alone, and goals to improve the condition of a suite of biodiversity attributes rather than to limit further loss, or to maintain the *status quo*. Secondary features relate to the selection of restoration areas and the nature of management undertaken. Further consideration of a working definition of ecological restoration in a New Zealand context will be required as departmental policies are developed.

These projects indicate that two key paradigm shifts are required if ecological restoration goals are to be achieved. Firstly, a shift is required from a focus on species alone, to one encompassing ecosystem processes. Secondly, a more science-based approach to conservation management is required involving the declaration and testing of hypotheses, rather than more traditional intuitive approaches alone.

It is concluded that these mainland restoration projects are important for four main reasons:

- They indicate that further losses of native biodiversity on the New Zealand mainland are not necessarily inevitable.
- Their ecological restoration goals constitute an important step towards meeting international obligations, statutory provisions and declared strategic goals.
- They represent a more integrated and potentially more cost effective approach to achieving conservation goals.
- Preliminary reported outcomes have been linked to specific management actions.

Thirteen recommendations are presented for approval by the department's Mainland Islands programme sponsor. Some of these relate to improving the way existing projects are managed and supported, while others concern moving in new directions. It is suggested that a key to further advances in conserving native biodiversity will be the more rigorous application of science as part of management programmes. Improved understanding can be expected to lead to enhanced capacity to restore the dawn chorus at sites on the New Zealand mainland.

PREFACE

Six mainland restoration projects were initiated in 1995 and 1996 following the allocation of funds by the Department of Conservation for this purpose. In December 1996 it was determined that no further projects would be funded until an evaluation had been undertaken of the success of these projects. Following the appointment of a Technical Coordinator in July 1997 a review was initiated. The objectives of this review were to outline the background to these projects and to summarise activities and progress in relation to restoration goals.

Apart from visits to talk to project staff, technical specialists and departmental managers, information was also gathered from project plans and reports where these were available. A questionnaire designed to obtain detailed descriptions of project activities and results was completed by project managers in July 1998. A draft report summarising the results of this review was circulated for wider comment (Saunders 1999). That report was used as a basis for discussions with departmental managers in early 1999 concerning the future direction of departmental mainland restoration activities.

Soon after the Department's Southern Regional General Manager assumed the role of Mainland Islands programme sponsor in May 1999, he asked the Technical Coordinator to revise the draft document based on submissions received. He also requested a position paper including specific recommendations for future action. The process of refining the draft review document involved an evaluation of about 30 submissions and discussions with a number of specialists. A second questionnaire was circulated in June 1999 in order to gather more detailed and current information about each project. A workshop involving mainly departmental restoration practitioners and planning staff was then held in October 1999 to discuss and refine recommendations contained in the draft position paper. Following some further refinements to the review document based on comments from project managers, this second draft, incorporating a review of current departmental restoration projects and recommendations for further action (the position paper), was submitted to the programme sponsor in November 1999.

The review was confined to the six departmental projects specifically funded for mainland restoration. A number of other projects are funded by the Department and other agencies which also have ecosystem-focused goals, and are achieving important conservation outcomes. Decisions about the strategic direction and departmental support for mainland restoration in the future will need to include consideration of these projects. Information presented in the review represents the most current and comprehensive available. It is clear, however, that there are gaps in the analysis and reporting of activities, results and outcomes at all of these projects. Despite the efforts of project managers to respond to requests for specific information, the lack of detailed reports covering the full term of these projects to date was a major impediment to undertaking a comprehensive review. The information presented here should be seen as a reflection of information available at the time this review was undertaken, rather than a comprehensive and current depiction of activities, results and outcomes.

This document is presented in four parts; Part A provides a background to the initiation of mainland restoration projects, Part B summarises activities, results and preliminary outcomes from the six projects funded by DOC for mainland restoration, Part C discusses features which set these projects apart from other approaches, and which make them important and Part D contains a list of recommendations for future action.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have contributed information and advice during the preparation of this document. Project Managers and staff as well as Conservancy Technical Support staff have provided detailed responses to various questions. In addition to submissions from a range of people, the following provided specific advice; Ian Atkinson, Paul Blaschke, Kerry Brown, Dave Choquenot, Charlie Daugherty, Craig Gillies, James Goff, John Gumbley, Dave Hunt, John Innes, David Norton, Susan-Jane Owen, Theo Stephens, Stephanie Turner, Clare Veltman, Chris Ward, Murray Williams and Elaine Wright. Rod Hay and Dave Towns have provided invaluable advice and support throughout this project. John Cannell supplied financial data, Chris Edkins drafted maps and some figures; Nathan Stevens and Lynette Clelland assisted in inserting figures and tables. Kaye Green, Carol Robson and Helen Shand helped compile the text. Jaap Jasperse edited and laid out the published versions and provided helpful advice. Vicky Froude prepared the workshop proceedings.

DEDICATION

This review is dedicated to all those people who have demonstrated their commitment to the vision of restoring the dawn chorus through their initiative and plain hard work at mainland restoration projects.

VISION

'And let us go beyond mere salvage to begin the restoration of natural environments, in order to enlarge wild populations and staunch the hemorrhaging of biological wealth. There can be no purpose more enspiriting than to begin the age of restoration, re-weaving the wondrous diversity of life that surrounds us.'

E.O. Wilson 1992. The Diversity of Life.

Continue to next file: mainlandA.pdf