

David Bishop

From: NAME REMOVED
Sent: Friday, 19 June 2015 9:01 p.m.
To: Reg Kemper
Cc: David Bishop
Subject: Re: FW: Ruahine change of status Hearing-additional information tabled by Martin Williams

Hi David,

my main note is that Mr Williams appears to be remunerated by the number of points or paragraphs in each letter and that, boy, has he gone for broke. I found a number of them to be irrelevant and I question paras 4, 9, 17, 32 & 35 especially.

In particular, the questioning of CMS's and noting they are discretionary is fine and dandy in a letter from a lawyer who is seeking to wriggle every which way in favour of their paymaster, but treat them as such at your peril in reality. Treating them as such would really, really alienate the sections of society that most support conservation in New Zealand.

My position is still qualified in support of the revocation proposal in order to facilitate the Ruataniwha irrigation storage scheme going ahead.

On 19 June 2015 at 15:21, David Bishop <dbishop@doc.govt.nz> wrote:

Hi

I attach information recently received by DOC from barrister Martin Williams, Counsel for HBRIC.

This is being supplied to all submitters to this hearings process.

A paper copy will be sent in the mail tonight.

If you wish to make a comment, please do so before Friday 26 June 2015.

Yours sincerely

David Bishop
Senior Advisor (SLM)
National Office
Department of Conservation
P.O. Box 10-420, 18-32 Manners Street, Wellington