

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: 65458-FAU NZTA
Date: Tuesday, 17 April 2018 2:36:57 pm

Okay – let's keep it with 9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Sent: Tuesday, 17 April 2018 2:00 p.m.
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Thanks for that. I am just finalising the authority and will then need to get DSD & authority peer reviewed. Will 9(2)(g)(ii) still be signing this off or should it be 9(2)(g)(ii)

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Tuesday, 17 April 2018 1:55 p.m.

To: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO 9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

9(2)(g)(ii) has come back to me to say that yes he spoke with 9(2)(g)(ii) and he is happy that the fence is experimental and the wider mitigation is good so no need to deal with either in this permit – Good to go.

Regards

9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO

Sent: Monday, 16 April 2018 1:46 p.m.

To: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Just following up on your discussion with 9(2)(g)(ii) regarding the fence?

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: 65458-FAU NZTA
Date: Tuesday, 17 April 2018 12:47:44 pm

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii) – 9(2)(g)(ii) is in the Minister's Office this week and not back until Thu 26 April, and may not be checking his DOC emails over this time (as he's out of role). Is this something that can wait or will this hold things up?

Regards

9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Sent: Monday, 16 April 2018 1:46 p.m.
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Just following up on your discussion with 9(2)(g)(ii) regarding the fence?

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: 65458-FAU NZTA
Date: Thursday, 12 April 2018 3:37:21 pm

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

It sure was – I'll make sure I call you much more often!

Thanks for sending that through – I'll let you know after my meeting with 9(2)(g)(ii) in the morning

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Sent: Thursday, 12 April 2018 3:09 p.m.
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Great to catch up. This is the one that 9(2)(g)(ii) was going to discuss with 9(2)(g)(ii) about having a fence as 9(2)(g)(ii) didn't think it was required as it could create dispersal of population. If you could mention to him in the morning that would be great. I haven't completed the authority but could finish it tomorrow.

Cheers

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: Re: 65458-FAU NZTA
Date: Friday, 6 April 2018 11:39:24 am

Yes I think that is a fair approach.

9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent from my Samsung device

----- Original message -----

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Date: 6/04/18 9: 9(2)(g)(ii)
David Speirs
Subject: 65458-FAU NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Just want to clarify that no lwi consultation is required, assume this has been covered off by the wider RMA application?

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Cc: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: POSTPONED - FW: 65458-FAU NZTA Check In Meeting
Date: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 1:47:07 pm

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Thanks for your phone message yesterday afternoon. I have checked in with 9(2)(g)(ii) and this will need to be rescheduled to after Easter as he has no time available tomorrow.

9(2)(g)(ii) could potentially do something 4pm on Tue 3 April, otherwise would need to be before 10am on Friday 6 April.

Also, could you please just clarify why 9(2)(g)(ii) is required – I think there was some confusion around her involvement.

Many thanks

9(2)(g)(ii)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:20 p.m.

To: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO

Subject: Declined: 65458-FAU NZTA Check In Meeting

When: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 3:15 p.m.-3:45 p.m. (UTC+12:00) Auckland, Wellington.

Where: Skype Meeting

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii) – this time doesn't work for 9(2)(g)(ii) as he has another commitment at that time and he doesn't have any spare time this side of Easter. He might be able to do 10am on Thursday, or 11.30am if I am able to reshuffle an MOR.

Regards

9(2)(g)(ii)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: 65458-FAU Kiwi permit for SH3 Mt Messenger project
Date: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:55:13 pm
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Sorry I cannot make it to the check in meeting. Thanks for the reports, they would have been useful at the first context meeting. The kiwi survey ran from December to February which is probably the worse time to survey at the end of the breeding season. (also at the end of a severe drought at that time). Best practice would be April to June. Possibly an underestimate of kiwi in the area. I have started reading the consultants report on pest control. Worth getting opinion from 9(2)(g)(ii) and 9(2)(g)(ii) on effectiveness of trapping and poisoning for benefit of kiwi. For example 1080 is getting 11% per annum kiwi recruitment in the first year rather than the 3% stated in the report. The report is very out of date with references.

With an area of 1000ha protected with edge effect this will not protect kiwi via trapping only. If that 1000ha was in a complete circle then the radius would only be about 1.8km, in other words a stoat or ferret needs to move this distance to get to the very middle of the control area from the outside. This is nothing to a stoat. In fact the shape of the management area is rectangle and so edge to centre would be no more than 800m. Ferret control should be equal to stoat control, not sure of effectiveness of Trapinator traps, usually DOC uses DOC210s for ferrets.

Basse and John McLennan 1999 published a paper suggesting 10000 ha would be the minimum size to protect a population of brown kiwi long term.

[Analysis of the impact of stoats, *Mustela erminea*, on northern brown kiwi, *Apteryx mantelli*, in New Zealand](#)

B Basse, JA McLennan, GC Wake - Wildlife Research, 1999 - CSIRO

An age-structured population analysis is used to determine recruitment levels and a condition for survival which can assist management decisions and hence improve the viability of populations of northern brown kiwi, *Apteryx mantelli*, in forests on the New ... This NZTA consultant report may be underestimating the amount of land to protect for mitigation of kiwi on the highway. I would suggest much more land be protected but also consult with DOCs pest experts.

Cheers 9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 11:14 a.m.
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: FW: 65458-FAU Kiwi permit for SH3 Mt Messenger project

Hi there

See email below received from NZTA's consultant. I will send an appointment for our check in meeting for tomorrow.

Cheers

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

From: 9(2)(a)

Sent: Friday, 23 March 2018 10:29 a.m.

To: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO 9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: 9(2)(a) > 9(2)(g)(ii)
9(2)(a); 9(2)(g)(ii) 9(2)(a)

Subject: RE: 65458-FAU Kiwi permit for SH3 Mt Messenger project

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Thank you for your email and progressing the permit application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to NI brown kiwi as part of the Mt Messenger Bypass Project. I have discussed the permit application with 9(2)(a) who is engaged as the lead avifauna/kiwi expert on the Mt Messenger project. Please see below responses to your points from 9(2)(a)

The first and 6th bullet points are the only ones that require a response. We agree with all of the other bullet points, and had 'covered them off' in the original permit application.

There seems to be no clear 'DOC position' on the matters raised in the first and 6th bullet points. Rather it seems to come down to individual opinion.

We have been dealing with 9(2)(g)(ii) as the Department's avifauna expert, and have presumed that his views are those of the Department. He supports the management plan that we have prepared to protect kiwi from harm during road construction, and the intention to move adults out of harm's way, when (and if) it becomes necessary to do so.

We therefore request that the permit acknowledges this requirement, and makes provision for it.

9(2)(g)(ii) also supports the use of fences to keep kiwi off the road and/ or to guide them to underpasses/culverts which will allow kiwi to move safely from one side to the other, without the risk of vehicle strikes.

The potential use of fences for kiwi protection at Mt Messenger is clearly not one that the permit application needs to address, resolve, or even consider.

But again it would be helpful if the views expressed in the context meeting and passed on to us aligned with those of 9(2)(g)(ii), the Department's avifauna representative for the Mt Messenger project.

I have attached the following documents for you:

1. Updated draft Kiwi Management Plan (Attachment B1) for consultation purposes (revised 23 March 2018)
2. Evidence of Iwi consultation (Attachment E1)
3. Baber, M and McLennan, J.A. 2017. Technical Report 7e Assessment of Ecological Effects - Avifauna, in Volume 3 of the Assessment of Effects on the Environment, SH3 Mt Messenger Bypass
4. McLennan, 2018. Ecology supplementary report - Avifauna.
5. Pest Management Plan for the Project which details the pest and predator control that will be undertaken

Released under the Official Information Act

9(2)(a) and 9(2)(g)(ii) will be meeting to discuss the avifauna aspects of the project next week and permitting requirements will be a topic on the agenda. 9(2)(g)(ii) I've copied you into this email chain so you are aware of developments in the permitting process.

9(2)(g)(ii), I am on leave now until 4th April. In my absence, please copy in any further correspondence to my colleague 9(2)(a) who will handle this in my absence.

Best wishes

9(2)

9(2)(a) | **Team Leader - Ecology**

PhD, MSc (Hons), BSc (Hons)

Tonkin + Taylor - Exceptional thinking together

Level 5, 711 Victoria Street, Hamilton 3204 | PO Box 9544, Hamilton, New Zealand

9(2)(a)

www.tonkintaylor.co.nz



To send me large files you can use my [file drop](#)

From: 9(2)(g)(iii) West Coast CO 9(2)(g)(iii)

Sent: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 11:38 AM

To: 9(2)(a)

Subject: 65458-FAU

Hi 9(2)(g)(iii)

We had a context meeting this morning regarding your application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to NI brown kiwi as part to of the Mt Messenger Bypass Project.

The scope of your application is too broad and after consideration we would be looking to approve a wildlife permit based on the following:

- Movement of the adult kiwi is not necessary
- It is acceptable to remove any eggs that are located but these must be taken to an approved facility (this is not clear in your application)
- Pest and predator control must be undertaken
- Transmitters are fitted – handlers must be accredited for handling kiwi
- Kiwis are not handled during the breeding season ie June to January
- Recommend no fence be built as it will prevent the dispersal of juveniles and in effect with divide the population
- Signage that kiwis are possible on the road should be put in place
- Kiwi Best Practice outlined in Kiwi Best Practice Manual must be adhered to
- At least 8 pin feathers collected from each kiwi caught for determining genetic make-up of that population. Full bill measurement and weight of kiwi taken each time kiwi handled to determine age structure of population.
- Birds to be marked with bands or transponders to determine if birds are surviving well along the road with time particularly when transmitters are removed.
- Reports on any kiwi killed by construction and annual report on kiwi captures to grantor of permit.

Could you please also send a copy of the Ecological Impact Assessment undertaken by Baber and McLennan 2017.

Cheers

9(2)(g)(iii)

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

Caution - This message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We apologise for the inconvenience. Thank you.

NOTICE: This email together with any attachments is confidential, may be subject to legal privilege and may contain proprietary information, including information protected by copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not copy, use or disclose the information in it, and confidentiality and privilege are not waived. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this email.

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: 65458-FAU NZTA Check In Meeting
Date: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 12:15:37 pm

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

This morning I received an invite for 65458-FAU NZTA Check In Meeting. I'm a bit confused though because I don't recognise this number and it doesn't seem to match any Assyst Requests I currently have. Sorry if I've missed something somewhere, but please can you give me the background and check that this has been assigned to me.

Thank you,

9(2)
(g)(ii)

Technical Advisor Ecology
Biodiversity Group
Department of Conservation—*Te Papa Atawhai*

Mobile: 9(2)(a) | 9(2)(g)(ii)

Kirikiroa/Hamilton Office

Level 3, 73 Rostrevor St, Hamilton 3204 | Private Bag 3072, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: Email to NZTA
Date: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 11:14:37 am

Also ONE pending on consultation with iwi. Is the permit under the kiwisforkiwi permit or under general ONE permit?

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO
Sent: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 10:53 a.m.
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: Email to NZTA

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

Was good to meet you too. Below is what I was about to send to NZTA (I have taken a couple of points from your email) Is this enough to outline to them now, obviously these will be included as special conditions of the authority.

Cheers

9(2)(g)(ii)

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

We had a context meeting this morning regarding your application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to NI brown kiwi as part of the Mt Messenger Bypass Project.

The scope of your application is too broad and after consideration we would be looking to approve a wildlife permit based on the following:

- Movement of the adult kiwi is not necessary
- It is acceptable to remove any eggs that are located but these must be taken to an approved facility (this is not clear in your application)
- Pest and predator control must be undertaken
- Transmitters are fitted
- Kiwis are not handled during the breeding season ie June to January
- Recommend no fence be built as it will prevent the dispersal of juveniles and in effect with divide the population
- Signage that kiwis are possible on the road should be put in place

Could you please also send a copy of the Ecological Impact Assessment undertaken by Baber and McLennan 2017.

Cheers

9(2)(g)(ii)

Permissions Advisor

Department of Conservation – Te Papa Atawhai

DDI: 9(2)(a)

VPN: 9(2)(a)

CELL: 9(2)(a)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: FYI
Date: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 10:06:00 am
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
Importance: High

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii) had a context meeting this morning regarding this application and I had omitted to advise who should be the community ranger. 9(2)(g)(ii) would like to bring you in on this one and advised he will give you a call to discuss.

Regards

9(2)(g)(ii)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Context Meetings

Sent: Friday, 16 March 2018 3:46 p.m.

To: Context Meetings; 9(2)(g)(ii) West Coast CO; 9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: Context Meeting 65458-FAU (New Zealand Transport Agency)

When: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 8:15 a.m.-9:00 a.m. (UTC+12:00) Auckland, Wellington.

Where: Skype Meeting

→ [Join Skype Meeting](#)

Trouble Joining? [Try Skype Web App](#)

[Help](#)

This questions will be asked to PA after the meeting, please fill in the [template](#) and save to DocCM with the permissions number in the title and in the reference ID put Cxtcheck.

Purpose: 9(2)(g)(ii) will lead the team process for the following permissions application. Please review the relevant application and identify any Critical Issues to bring to the meeting.

Permission No.	Title	Application	Summary	Decision Maker	Advisors	Task Assignment
65458-FAU	New Zealand Transport Agency	DOC-5434487	The application is from New Zealand Transport Agency, seeking permission to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within	9(2)(g)(ii)	9(2)(g)(ii) (Permissions Advisor) 9(2)(g)(ii) (Technical Advisor) DM to assign (Community Ranger)	DOC-5434482

Released Under the Official Information Act

		<p>the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way. The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Permission Ref: 65458-FAU

To: 9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Date: 15th March 2018

Task Assignment: Process Application from New Zealand Transport Agency

Context

Wildlife Act Application

Application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way.

The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.

The nocturnal kiwi survey conducted in December 2017 (Baber and McLennan 2017) identified five different pairs in the Mangapepeke catchment (Figure 4). The male of the northernmost pair, in the lower part of the catchment, was incubating at the time of the survey, emerging each night at the same place 1.5-2.0 hours after sunset. A second pair was present slightly further up the catchment, where the Mangapepeke Stream splits into two tributaries. The third, fourth, and fifth pairs were all detected in the upper catchment in the western tributary, near or on the route of the proposed alignment.

The kiwi survey identified three pairs in the Mimi River catchment, on or near the alignment (Figure 3). In addition to these pairs, another three males were heard in the catchment, two in the eastern side of the valley, and one alongside the existing road halfway up the southern side of Mt Messenger. Some or all of these males are likely to be paired, indicating that there are probably another five pairs of kiwi living near the proposed alignment on the southern side of the Mt Messenger ridgeline.

Released under the Official Information Act

The December 2017 surveys confirmed that the proposed alignment is likely to encroach on or bisect the territories of 10-15 pairs of North Island brown kiwi.

Proposed term

March 2018 – March 2021

Proposed location

Immediate surrounds of the Mount Messenger Bypass Project (map attached)

Iwi Consultation

Consultation with Ngati Tama is currently taking place. Due to the short time frame available to lodge a permit application prior to planned commencement of activities requiring a permit, this application has been submitted prior to receiving written evidence of consultation. This will be provided as soon as possible and sent directly to the appointed permissions advisor.

If this activity cannot take place in your area, an active decision must still be made to decline the application.

The authority for agreeing fees sits with PPL Director to ensure a consistent approach across the country. Where the fee setting is consistent with the Price Book, place based decision makers can incorporate this into their decision.

Purpose

To make a decision on the application.

Quantity:

- A decision or other appropriate closure of the application
- Written rationale for decision
- Permissions processing complete (e.g. paperwork, database)

Quality:

- Ensure appropriate engagement with iwi/hapu/whanau
- Ensure stakeholders are appropriately consulted
- Ensure a robust decision-making process following best practice
- Ensure appropriate interaction and communication with the applicant
- Use team process and follow the defined 'Type 2' process steps
- Utilise resources provided
- Request changes to resource if required
- Ensure final decision is appropriately shared
- Assess and escalate critical issues
- Learn how to shorten the cycle time

Resources

Permissions Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Community Ranger – To be assigned by the Decision Maker

Technical Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Link to Application: <https://doccm.doc.govt.nz:443/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-543448>

Timeframe

Within 20 working days of acceptance of Task Assignment. In this instance, the 20 working days will commence from 19th March 2018.

Nga mihi,

9(2)(g)(ii)

Ranger, Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawhai*

DDI: 9(2)(a)

Ngamotu / New Plymouth Office
PO Box 462, New Plymouth 4340,
www.doc.govt.nz

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Cc: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: Taranaki highway permit to handle kiwi
Date: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 10:02:52 am

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii), nice to meet you this morning.

The following conditions as I see it are:

I haven't seen the report but appears to be a good population of kiwi along the proposed route. Any kiwi along a road are at risk but generally kiwi run away from approaching noise and few kiwi are run over on roads. It does happen from time to time but risk is relatively low. The biggest risk to kiwi is predation. To mitigate the road and any risk, greater pest control in the area would more than offset this risk. Swings and roundabouts! While this road is being built the existing road will be retired and kiwi there will be more safe. It would be good to survey the existing road to see what the population is like in that area and the present effect that road is having. Signage that kiwi are possible on the road should be put in place to make drivers more aware, perhaps speed limit on very straight sections of road???

If a fence is built it will prevent dispersal of juveniles in effect dividing the population into two populations. Recommend no fence.

It would be a good idea to put transmitters on birds nearby the construction for reassurance and to collect the eggs as part of Kiwisforkiwi under their permit. Eggs can be up lifted for ONE to repopulate Mt Taranaki / Mangatautare given iwi approval. The captive handling facility must be capable of handling them and be specified.

Kiwi not to be removed from their territories along the road. Removal will only make vacant territories and likely be areas that juveniles will gravitate into and being unfamiliar with the area may be more at risk than the residences.

Handlers must be accredited for kiwi.

They must observe kiwi best practice outlined in kiwi best practice manual.

At least 8 pin feathers collected from each kiwi caught for determining genetic make up of that population. Full bill measurement and weight of kiwi taken each time kiwi handled to determine age structure of population.

Birds banded and reflective tape adhered to band to help observe movements on road site (optional). However handled birds must be marked to determine if birds are surviving well along the road with time particularly when transmitters are removed.

Reports on any kiwi killed by construction and annual report on kiwi captures.

Cheers 9(2)(g)(ii)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: 9(2)(g)(ii) on behalf of [Context Meetings](#)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: FW: Context Meeting 65458-FAU (New Zealand Transport Agency)

Hi 9(2)

9(2)(g) had a context meeting this morning regarding this application and I had omitted to advise who should be the community ranger. 9(2) would like to bring you in on this one and advised he will give you a call to discuss.

Regards

9(2)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Context Meetings
Sent: Friday, 16 March 2018 3:46 p.m.
To: Context Meetings; 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: Context Meeting 65458-FAU (New Zealand Transport Agency)
When: Tuesday, 20 March 2018 8:15 a.m.-9:00 a.m. (UTC+12:00) Auckland, Wellington.
Where: Skype Meeting

--> Join Skype Meeting <<https://meet.lync.com/docnz/cmeetings/DJWV41HK>>

Trouble Joining? Try Skype Web App <<https://meet.lync.com/docnz/cmeetings/DJWV41HK?sl=1>>

Help <<http://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=389737>>

[!OC([1033])!]

This questions will be asked to PA after the meeting, please fill in the template <<https://doccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5427290>> and save to DocCM with the permissions number in the title and in the reference ID plus Cxtcheck.

Purpose: 9(2)(g)(ii) will lead the team process for the following permissions application.

Please review the relevant application and identify any Critical Issues to bring to the meeting.

Permission No.

Title

Application

Summary

Decision Maker

Advisors

Task Assignment

65458-FAU

New Zealand Transport Agency

DOC-5434487 <<https://doccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5434487>>

The application is from the New Zealand Transport Agency, seeking permission to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way. The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.

9(2)(g)(ii)

9(2)(g)(ii) (Permissions Advisor)

9(2)(g)(ii) (Technical Advisor)

DM to assign (Community Ranger)

DOC-5434482 <<https://doccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5434482>>

Permission Ref: 65458-FAU

To: 9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Date: 15th March 2018

Task Assignment: Process Application from New Zealand Transport Agency

Context

Released under the Official Information Act

Wildlife Act Application

Application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way.

The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.

The nocturnal kiwi survey conducted in December 2017 (Baber and McLennan 2017) identified five different pairs in the Mangapepeke catchment (Figure 4). The male of the northernmost pair, in the lower part of the catchment, was incubating at the time of the survey, emerging each night at the same place 1.5-2.0 hours after sunset. A second pair was present slightly further up the catchment, where the Mangapepeke Stream splits into two tributaries. The third, fourth, and fifth pairs were all detected in the upper catchment in the western tributary, near or on the route of the proposed alignment.

The kiwi survey identified three pairs in the Mimi River catchment, on or near the alignment (Figure 3). In addition to these pairs, another three males were heard in the catchment, two in the eastern side of the valley, and one alongside the existing road halfway up the southern side of Mt Messenger. Some or all of these males are likely to be paired, indicating that there are probably another five pairs of kiwi living near the proposed alignment on the southern side of the Mt Messenger ridgeline.

The December 2017 surveys confirmed that the proposed alignment is likely to encroach on or bisect the territories of 10-15 pairs of North Island brown kiwi.

Proposed term

March 2018 – March 2021

Proposed location

Immediate surrounds of the Mount Messenger Bypass Project (map attached)

Iwi Consultation

Consultation with Ngati Tama is currently taking place. Due to the short time frame available to lodge a permit application prior to planned commencement of activities requiring a permit, this application has been submitted prior to receiving written evidence of consultation. This will be provided as soon as possible and sent directly to the appointed permissions advisor.

If this activity cannot take place in your area, an active decision must still be made to decline the application.

The authority for agreeing fees sits with PPL Director to ensure a consistent approach across the country. Where the fee setting is consistent with the Price Book, place based decision makers can incorporate this into their decision.

Purpose

To make a decision on the application.

Quantity:

- * A decision or other appropriate closure of the application
- * Written rationale for decision
- * Permissions processing complete (e.g. paperwork, database)

Quality:

- * Ensure appropriate engagement with iwi/hapu/hanau
- * Ensure stakeholders are appropriately consulted
- * Ensure a robust decision-making process following best practice
- * Ensure appropriate interaction and communication with the applicant
- * Use team process and follow the defined 'Type 2' process steps
- * Utilise resources provided
- * Request changes to resources if required
- * Ensure final decision is appropriately shared
- * Assess and escalate critical issues
- * Learn how to shorten the cycle time

Resources

Permissions Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Community Ranger – To be assigned by the Decision Maker

Technical Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Link to Application: <https://docm.doc.govt.nz:443/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5434487>

Timeframe

Within 20 working days of acceptance of Task Assignment. In this instance, the 20 working days will commence from 19th March 2018.

From: [permissionsupport](#)
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Cc: [Context Meetings](#); 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: Task Assignment – Permission 65458-FAU (New Zealand Transport Agency)
Date: Thursday, 15 March 2018 1:50:36 pm

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii),

Please see a Task Assignment ([DOC-5434482](#)) for your consideration. The application is from New Zealand Transport Agency, seeking permission to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way. The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for all brown kiwi.

The Task Assignment is deemed to be accepted after 2 working days. The 20 working days will commence from 19th March.

The Permissions Advisor is 9(2)(g)(ii). To assist 9(2)(g)(ii) in completion of the Decision Support Document ([DOC-3001655](#)):

Function	Time (minutes)	Date
Capture Time	15	07/03/2018
Summary Time	45	09/03/2018
Assign Time	15	15/03/2018

A member of the Context Meetings team will be in contact with you and any other resources assigned to this application to arrange a context meeting.

A check in meeting must occur unless a decision is made at the context meeting. When a decision is made the rationale for the decision must be shared with all team members.

Please find the full text of the Task Assignment below in the instance that you are unable to access DocCM.

Many thanks,

Permissions System | Hamilton

Department of Conservation - *Te Papa Atawhai*

Conservation leadership for our nature **Takina te hi, tiakina te ha, o te ao turoa**

www.doc.govt.nz

Please note if you have any questions about your role or the SPI process in general, please forward all queries to permissionsrollout@doc.govt.nz

Permission Ref: 65458-FAU

To: 9(2)(g)(ii)

From: 9(2)(g)(ii)

Date: 15th March 2018

Task Assignment: Process Application from New Zealand Transport Agency

Context

Wildlife Act Application

Application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harm's way.

The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverse's areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.

The nocturnal kiwi survey conducted in December 2017 (Baber and McLennan 2017) identified five different pairs in the Mangapepeke catchment (Figure 4). The male of the northernmost pair, in the lower part of the catchment, was incubating at the time of the survey, emerging each night at the same place 1.5-2.0 hours after sunset. A second pair was present slightly further up the catchment, where the Mangapepeke Stream splits into two tributaries. The third, fourth, and fifth pairs were all detected in the upper catchment in the western tributary, near or on the route of the proposed alignment.

The kiwi survey identified three pairs in the Mimi River catchment, on or near the alignment (Figure 3). In addition to these pairs, another three males were heard in the catchment, two in the eastern side of the valley, and one alongside the existing road halfway up the southern side of Mt Messenger. Some or all of these males are likely to be paired, indicating that there are probably another five pairs of kiwi living near the proposed alignment on the southern side of the Mt Messenger ridgeline.

The December 2017 surveys confirmed that the proposed alignment is likely to encroach on or bisect the territories of 10-15 pairs of North Island brown kiwi.

Proposed term

March 2018 – March 2021

Proposed location

Immediate surrounds of the Mount Messenger Bypass Project (map attached)

Iwi Consultation

Consultation with Ngati Tama is currently taking place. Due to the short time frame available to lodge a permit application prior to planned commencement of activities requiring a permit, this application has been submitted prior to receiving written evidence of consultation. This will be provided as soon as possible and sent directly to the appointed permissions advisor.

If this activity cannot take place in your area, an active decision must still be made to decline the application.

The authority for agreeing fees sits with PPL Director to ensure a consistent approach across the country. Where the fee setting is consistent with the Price Book, place based decision makers can incorporate this into their decision.

Purpose

To make a decision on the application.

Quantity

- A decision or other appropriate closure of the application
- Written rationale for decision
- Permissions processing complete (e.g. paperwork, database)

Quality:

- Ensure appropriate engagement with iwi/hapu/whanau
- Ensure stakeholders are appropriately consulted
- Ensure a robust decision-making process following best practice
- Ensure appropriate interaction and communication with the applicant
- Use team process and follow the defined 'Type 2' process steps
- Utilise resources provided
- Request changes to resources if required
- Ensure final decision is appropriately shared

- Assess and escalate critical issues
- Learn how to shorten the cycle time

Resources

Permissions Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Community Ranger – To be assigned by the Decision Maker

Technical Advisor – 9(2)(g)(ii)

Link to Application: <https://doccm.doc.govt.nz:443/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5434487>

Timeframe

Within 20 working days of acceptance of Task Assignment. In this instance, the 20 working days will commence from 19th March 2018.

Released under the Official Information Act

From: assystrequest@doc.govt.nz
To: 9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: assyst: Concessions and permits - WARC (Wildlife Act/Research & Collection) Authorisation Applications request with the reference number R132943 has been assigned to you.
Date: Tuesday, 13 March 2018 9:37:14 am

Dear 9(2)(g)(ii),

The following request has been assigned to you. Please keep this request as up to date as possible.

Reference Number : [R132943](#)
Logged By : 9(2)(g)(ii)
Logged Date : 12/03/2018
Priority : 3
Required by Date : 14/03/2018
Description :

Please do not respond to the requestor- they are not involved with the application beyond securing the required resources.

Context:

Application to catch, handle and attach transmitters to North Island brown kiwi for species management purposes. If kiwi eggs are found, it is proposed that they will be uplifted and taken to an incubation facility. If kiwi are found within the project footprint, it is proposed that they will be moved a short distance so they are out of harms way.

The NZTA is proposing that a new section of SH3 be built between Uruti and Ahititi to the North of New Plymouth. The project traverses areas of vegetation which provides habitat for NI brown kiwi.

Applicant: New Zealand Transport Agency

Task Assignment:

<https://poccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5434482>

Please reply within 2 working days with a Permissions Advisor that will be assigned to this application – this process may take 20 working days at acceptance of the Task Assignment by the Decision Maker.

Assignor Message:

Hi 9(2)(g)(ii)

As discussed, here's a new application for you to please process :)

Please remember to email the applicant and let them know you are the

assigned Permissions Advisor, and that you will update them again soon with an expected date for a decision.

Thanks so much :)

Cheers,

9(2)(g)
(ii)

*Please do **NOT** reply to this email, instead click on the above link to start working on the request.*

Many thanks,

9(2)(g)(ii)

Statutory Support Officer (400/9051)

Permissions

VPN: 9(2)(a)

DDI: 9(2)(a)

MOB: 9(2)(a)

Department of Conservation

Released under the Official Information Act